

**Smith Engineering (GB) Ltd**

Question	Agree	Response
<b>1 – Geology</b>	No	No good reason to bury waste when it can be stored above ground safely
<b>2 – Safety, security, environment and planning</b>	No	Several new stores are being constructed at sellafield to a very high standard. Why move the waste when it can be stored in the existing high spec facility.
<b>3 – Impacts</b>	Not Sure/ Partly	The money can be used for decommissioning existing plant . We do not need a repository the economic drivers are profit for companies like nirex. The general public will not benefit.
<b>4 – Community benefits</b>	No	The money could be used locally for more sensible projects.
<b>5 – Design and engineering</b>	No	No comment was made
<b>6 – Inventory</b>	No	No comment was made
<b>7 – Siting process</b>	No	No comment was made
<b>8 – Overall views on participation</b>		Several millions have been spent already for temporary storage ,these facilities must be acceptable for the safe storage of waste so why repeat the process by building another stoage facility.
<b>9 – Additional comments</b>		Look at the reasons for the Gosforth rejection and save alot of time and money.